



# Haryana Government Gazette

Published by Authority

No. 11] CHANDIGARH, TUESDAY, MARCH 16, 1971 (PHGN. 25, 1892 SAKA)

## CONTENTS

	PAGES
PART I—Haryana Government Notifications and Orders	219—237
PART I-A—Notifications by Haryana Government	Nil
PART I-B—Notifications by Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners	Nil
PART II—Statutory Notifications of Election Commission, India—Other Notifications and Republications from the <i>Gazette of India</i>	Nil
PART III—Notifications by Director of Industries; Union Public Service Commission; Haryana Public Service Commission;	181—199
PART III-A—Notifications by the Panjab University	Nil
PART III-B—Court Notices	Nil
PART IV—Acts and Bills	25—53
PART V—Notifications by Haryana State Legislature	Nil
SUPPLEMENT, PART I— <i>Statistical</i> —Weather and Crop Report for the week ending 25th January, 1971.	105—107
SUPPLEMENT, PART II—General Reviews	33—46
LEGISLATIVE SUPPLEMENT, PART III—Contents	xviii
Notifications by the Legislative Department	75—77
LEGISLATIVE SUPPLEMENT, PART IV—Contents	Nil
Correction Slips	Nil

## PART I

### Haryana Government Notifications and Orders

#### ELECTIONS DEPARTMENT

The 8th March, 1971

No. Elec-71/AE-2034.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to appoint the under mentioned Election Naib Tehsildar as temporary Election Tehsildars, in the scale of Rs. 350—25—500/30—600/30—800, up to 28th February, 1971 in the first instance in connection with the ensuing mid-term elections to the Lok Sabha, with their jurisdiction and headquarters and from the districts mentioned against each. The said temporary posts are likely to continue up to 30th April, 1971:—

Name of Election Naib-Tehsildar appointed as Election Tehsildar	Jurisdiction and Headquarters	With effect from
1. Shri Bhim Singh, Election Naib Tehsildar	Jind and Karnal districts with headquarters at Jind	18th January, 1971 (forenoon)

Price : 30 Paise

(219)

Complete : Rs 1.10

Name of Election Naib-Tehsildar appointed as Election Tehsildar	Jurisdiction and Headquarters	With effect from
2. Shri Ulfat Rai, Election Naib-Tehsildar, Gurgaon	Gurgaon and Rohtak districts with headquarters at Gurgaon	19th January, 1971 (forenoon)
3. Shri Gurdial Singh, Election Naib-Tehsildar, Narnaul	Mahendragarh and Hissar districts with headquarters at Narnaul	18th January, 1971 (forenoon)

M. L. BATRA, Secy.

**FINANCE DEPARTMENT (LOTTERIES)**

The 6th March, 1971

No. DOL/HR/71/1318-A.—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to appoint the following persons as judges for the supervision of the Mid-Weekly Draw to be held on 8th March, 1971 :—

- (i) Mrs. Sheela Chopra, w/o Dr. R. L. Chopra, Deputy Director, Health, Haryana, Chandigarh.
- (ii) Shri V. P. Dhawan, Deputy Director, Food and Supplies Department, Haryana, Chandigarh.
- (iii) Shri K. C. Gupta, Economic and Statistical Adviser to Government, Haryana, Chandigarh.

J. R. DHINGRA,

Director of Lotteries-cum-Deputy Secy.

**LABOUR DEPARTMENT**

The 1st March, 1971

No. 2252-Lab-70/6183.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Modern Woollen Mills, G. T. Road, Kundli (Rohtak):—

**BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT HARYANA, ROHTAK**

Reference No. 178 of 1970

*between*

Shri Dhani Ram, Sweeper, through General Workers Union (Regd.), Sonapat and the management of M/s. Modern Woollen Mills, G. T. Road, Kundli (Rohtak)

*Present :—*

Shri M. S. Rathi, for the workman.

Nemo, for the management.

**LATE NOTIFICATIONS**

## AWARD

Shri Dhani Ram was in the service of M/s Modern Woollen Mills, G. T. Road, Kundli (Rohtak). His services were terminated and this gave rise to an industrial dispute. Accordingly the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Court,—*vide* notification No. ID/RK/181A-70/29817, dated 23rd September, 1970:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Dhani Ram was justified and in order. If not; to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the reference usual notices were issued to the parties in response to which a statement of claim was filed on behalf of the workman and the management filed their written statement. The pleadings of the parties gave rise to the following issue:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Dhani Ram was justified and in order. If not; to what relief is he entitled?”

The case was fixed for evidence for 4th January, 1971. On the date fixed nobody appeared on behalf of the management. A letter was received from Shri D. S. Rekhi, Labour Law Adviser, asking for an adjournment on the ground that he wanted to raise an objection regarding the validity of the constitution of this Court and the case may be fixed for 7th January, 1971. The procedure adopted by Shri Rekhi for wanting to raise an objection and asking for an adjournment is not correct. If the management wanted to raise any objection then the only proper course open to them was to attend on the date fixed for hearing and make an application for permission to amend their written statement. This course has not been adopted. It was, therefore, not possible to take any notice of the intimation received from Shri Rekhi asking for an adjournment. Shri Rekhi has also not filed any letter of authority on behalf of the management, and neither anybody appeared on 7th January, 1971 on behalf of the management.

The *ex parte* evidence of the workman has been recorded. Shri Dhani Ram workman appeared as his own witness in support of his case and also produced Shri Raghu Nath, Watchman, who corroborates his testimony. Shri Dhani Ram states that he joined the respondent mills about year and a half or two years back at Rs. 100 per month and on 13th May, 1970 the management terminated his services without indicating any reason. Shri Dhani Ram states that he received a letter dated 13th May, 1970 marked Ex. W. 3 in which certain allegations were made. A reply copy Ex. W. 1 by registered cover was sent to the respondent and Ex. W. 2 is the postal receipt. According to the workman the allegations made against him are not correct. Shri Raghu Nath, W.W. 2, Watchman, supports Shri Dhani Ram and says that his services were terminated without any reason and the management directed him (witness) not to permit Shri Dhani Ram to enter the premises of the respondent mill. Since the management have not led any evidence in support of their plea there is no reason to disbelieve the sworn testimony of the workman and I hold that the termination of the services of the workman was not justified and he is entitled to be reinstated with continuity of service. The workman has also stated that he has not been able to get any alternative employment. He is, therefore, entitled to get full back wages. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Dated 4th February, 1971.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 334, dated Rohtak, the 23rd February, 1971.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

The 9th March, 1971

No. 2103-ASO-Lab-71/6547.—Consequent upon the termination of his services Shri Inderjit Jain relinquished the charge of the office of the Inspector of Factories, Sonapat, on the afternoon of the 18th February, 1971.

B. L. AHUJA,

Commissioner for Labour & Employment and Secy.